Theory of Ferrimagnetism in the Hubbard Model on Bipartite Lattices with Spectrum Symmetry

Yang Xue,[1] Jing He,[2] Xing-Hai Zhang,[1] and Su-Peng Kou[1,*]

[1]*Department of Physics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, 100875, P. R. China*
[2]*Department of Physics, Hebei Normal University, HeBei, 050024, P. R. China*

In this paper we developed theory of the ferrimagnetism in the Hubbard model on bipartite lattices with spectrum symmetry. We then study the defect-induced ferrimagnetic orders in three models and explored the universal features.

arXiv:1402.4634v1 [cond-mat.str-el] 19 Feb 2014

The magnetic orders are important magnetic properties for two dimensional (2D) Hubbard model, to which researchers also have payed much attention. At half filling case, the ground state is known to be the long-range (LR) antiferromagnetic (AF) order. Nagaoka made a surprising discovery about the induced ferromagnetic (FM) by a single hole for infinite coupling limit $U \to \infty$[1]. At heavily doping region a possible FM order may exist. The starting point on the issue of *ferrimagnetism* of the Hubbard model is a theorem by Lieb[2]. In this theorem, it is pointed out that the total spin S of the ground state for the Hubbard model on bipartite lattice with particle-hole (PH) symmetry and real hopping parameters is given by $S = |N_{\rm A} - N_{\rm B}|/2$ (we call it *Lieb spin moment*) where $N_{\rm A}$ and $N_{\rm B}$ are the numbers of lattice sites on A and B sublattice, respectively. Then, in Ref.[3], it is pointed out that the ground state of the 2D sublattice-unbalanced Hubbard model on bipartite lattice with the same conditions (PH symmetry and real hopping parameters) is really the ferrimagnetic (FR) order that possesses a finite total magnetic moment and the LR AF order and obeys $m(0) \leq m(\mathcal{Q})$ (we call it Shen-Qiu-Tian (SQT) inequality[4]) where $m(0) = \sum_{ij} \left\langle \hat{s}_i^+ \hat{s}_j^- \right\rangle / N$ and $m(\mathcal{Q}) = \sum_{ij} (-1)^{i+j} \left\langle \hat{s}_i^+ \hat{s}_j^- \right\rangle / N$ ($\hat{s}_i = \frac{1}{2}\hat{c}_i^\dagger \sigma \hat{c}_i$, N is the total number of the lattice sites). However, the FR order was seldom studied [3, 5, 7, 8] and the detailed properties of the FR order in 2D Hubbard model have not been explored.

The 2D Hubbard Model: Our starting point is the following Hamiltonian

$$H = -\sum_{i,j} t_{ij} \left(\hat{c}_i^\dagger \hat{c}_j + h.c. \right) + U \sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow} \hat{n}_{i\downarrow} - \mu \sum_{i,\sigma} \hat{c}_{i\sigma}^\dagger \hat{c}_{i\sigma}, \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{c}_i = (\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow}, \hat{c}_{i,\downarrow})^T$ with $\hat{c}_{i,\sigma}$ representing the fermion annihilation operator at site i. $\sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow$ denote spin index. For this bipartite lattice, we have two sublattices, A and B. t_{ij} is the hopping amplitude. U is the strength of the repulsive interaction. μ is the chemical potential which is set to $\mu = 0$ for the half filling case.

*Corresponding author; Electronic address: spkou@bnu.edu.cn

The spectrum symmetry: Firstly, we defined the *spectrum symmetry*. For the Hamiltonian in Eq.(1) with the spectrum symmetry (S symmetry), the denisty of state (DOS) $\rho(E)$ is always symmetric via E as $\rho(E) = \rho(-E)$. As a result, each energy level with positive energy E must be paired with an energy level with negative energy $-E$. To make it clearer, we define an operator of the S symmetry as $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P} \cdot \mathcal{D}$ where $\mathcal{P}$ is the PH transformation operator $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{R} \cdot \mathcal{K}$ introduced in Ref.[9, 10] and $\mathcal{R}$ is an operator that leads to $\hat{c}_i \leftrightarrow (-1)^i \hat{c}_i$, $\mathcal{K}$ is the complex conjugate operator, $\mathcal{D}$ is a discrete transformation operator that commutes with lattice translation operators $T_{x,y}$ as, $[\mathcal{D}, T_x] = [\mathcal{D}, T_y] = 0$. For a Hamiltonian with the S symmetry, we have $H = -\mathcal{S}^\dagger H \mathcal{S}$. Thus, each energy level $|\psi\rangle$ with positive energy E is paired with an energy level $\mathcal{S}|\psi\rangle$ with negative energy $-E$. So, the PH symmetry is a special case of the S symmetry and for the case of $\mathcal{D} = 1$, the S symmetry is reduced into the PH symmetry.

Vacancy-induced zero-modes: We then consider the case of free Hamiltonian ($U = 0$) with lattice-defect - the vacancy by adding a potential on given lattice site R, $H_R = -\sum_{i,j} t_{ij} \left(\hat{c}_i^\dagger \hat{c}_j + h.c. \right) + V_R \hat{c}_R^\dagger \hat{c}_R$. In the unitary limit, the lattice-defect becomes a missing lattice site that is just a vacancy, on which we have an infinite on-site potential, i.e., $V_R \to \infty$. It is pointed out that the vacancy doesn't break the S symmetry ($H_R = -\mathcal{S}^\dagger H_R \mathcal{S}$). Due to the S symmetry, there exists a zero energy state (the so-called zero-mode) $|\psi_0\rangle$ when we add a vacancy on A sublattice. For the zero-mode (ZM) $|\psi_0\rangle$, we have $|\psi_0\rangle = \mathcal{S}|\psi_0\rangle$. We denote the wave-function of the ZM by $\psi_0(r_i - R)$ where R is the position of the vacancy. When there exists n-vacancy on A sublattice, $n = |N_{\rm A} - N_{\rm B}|$ zero energy modes will necessarily appear. In addition, for the case with nearest neighbor hopping $t_{ij} = t_{\langle ij \rangle}$ ($t_{\langle ij \rangle}$ is the real or complex nearest neighbor (NN) hopping parameter), these vacancy-induced (VI) zero-modes (ZMs) localize only on the B sublattice and are orthotropic each other.

For some models with S symmetry, the DOS may be finite at the Fermi level. Except for the VI ZMs, there may exist additional zero energy states $|\psi\rangle \neq \mathcal{S}|\psi\rangle$. However, the additional zero energy states are not protected by symmetry and are fragile against perturbations. On the contrary, since the VI ZMs are protected by S symmetry ($|\psi_0\rangle = \mathcal{S}|\psi_0\rangle$), they are fixed precisely at the Fermi

level, the interaction term is highly relevant. In particular, arbitrary small (repulsive) interaction will drive the spin moments of the VI ZMs into an FM ordered state. Consequently, the ground state of the original Hamiltonian turns into a LR FR order.

Ferrimagnetism: Let us show the universal features of the FR order in the Hubbard model in the small U limit.

For a system with $L_x \times L_y$ vacancy-lattice (L_x along x direction and L_y along y direction), in a unit cell (UC) there are $L_x \times L_y/a^2 - 1$ lattice sites. In general, we have $L_x \times L_y/a^2 - 1$ magnetic order parameters $M_i = \langle \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\uparrow}\hat{c}_{i\uparrow} - \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\downarrow}\hat{c}_{i\downarrow}\rangle/2$ to denote the local magnetizations on the lattice sites in a UC. To simply characterize the FR order, we introduce two order parameters, the total FM moment in a unit cell $\mathcal{M} = \sum_{i\in\text{unit-cell}}\langle \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\uparrow}\hat{c}_{i\uparrow} - \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\downarrow}\hat{c}_{i\downarrow}\rangle$ and the total AF moment in a unit cell $\mathcal{N} = \sum_{i\in\text{unit-cell}}(-1)^i\langle \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\uparrow}\hat{c}_{i\uparrow} - \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\downarrow}\hat{c}_{i\downarrow}\rangle$, respectively. One can see that $m(0) = \sum_{ij}\left\langle \hat{s}^+_i\hat{s}^-_j\right\rangle/N = \sum_i \left\langle \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\uparrow}\hat{c}_{i\uparrow} - \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\downarrow}\hat{c}_{i\downarrow}\right\rangle/N = \mathcal{M}/(L_x \times L_y/a^2 - 1)$ and $m(\mathcal{Q}) = \mathcal{N}/(L_x \times L_y/a^2 - 1)$. We then define the spin operator of the VI ZM as $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_R(i) = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\psi}^\dagger_0(r_i - R)\sigma\hat{\psi}_0(r_i - R)$ where $\hat{\psi}_0 = (\hat{c}_{0,\uparrow}, \hat{c}_{0,\downarrow})^T\psi_0$ and $\hat{c}_{0,\sigma}$ is the particle annihilation operator of the zero-mode with spin σ. When $\left\langle \hat{S}^z_R\right\rangle \neq 0$, the FR order is a direct physics consequence of the FM order of the spin moments of the different ZMs. The total FM moment in a UC is given by $\mathcal{M} = 2\sum_{i\in\text{unit-cell}} M_i = 2\sum_R \left\langle \hat{S}^z_R\right\rangle$, and the total AF moment in a UC is $\mathcal{N} = 2\sum_{i\in\text{unit-cell}}(-1)^i M_i = 2\sum_R \left\langle (-1)^i\hat{S}^z_R\right\rangle$.

From above discussion, we already know that there exists a ZM for each vacancy. In the small U limit, $U \to 0$, the low energy physics is dominated by these ZMs. Because the ZMs induced by two different vacancies at R and R' are orthotropic each other, when considering the on-site interaction there exists the Hund rule's coupling as $-J_{\text{eff}}(R, R')\hat{\mathbf{S}}_R \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{R'}$ where $J_{\text{eff}}(R, R') > 0$ is the effective FM spin coupling constant. Thus, the low energy effective Hamiltonian becomes $H_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{R,R'} J_{\text{eff}}(R, R')\hat{\mathbf{S}}_R \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{R'}$, of which the ground state is a long range FM order denoted by $\left\langle \hat{S}^z_R\right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\left\langle \hat{\psi}^\dagger_{0,R\uparrow}\hat{\psi}_{0,R\uparrow} - \hat{\psi}^\dagger_{0,R\downarrow}\hat{\psi}_{0,R\downarrow}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{2}$ or $\mathcal{M} = 1$. As a result, the total spin moment of the ground state must be the total number of spin moments of the VI ZMs $S = \frac{1}{2}\sum\mathcal{M}$ that is just the Lieb spin moment $S = |N_{\text{A}} - N_{\text{B}}|/2$. The local magnetizations are given by $M_i \to \sum_R \left\langle \hat{S}^z_R(i)\right\rangle$.

For the case with only NN hopping $t_{ij} = t_{\langle ij\rangle}$ in small U limit, it is obvious that $M_{i\in\text{A}} = 0$. Thus, we have $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M} = 1$. $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ means the ground state is an FM-AF-balanced FR order. On the contrary, in the strong coupling limit, $U \to \infty$, the low energy effective Hamiltonian turns into the (un-frustrated) Heisenberg model with vacancy-lattice as $H_{\text{eff}} = J\sum_{\langle ij\rangle}\hat{\mathbf{s}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{s}}_j$ ($J \to \frac{4t^2}{U}$). The ground state is characterized by the AF ordered staggered magnetization, $M = 2(-1)^i M_i \to 1/2$. So, we have $\mathcal{N} = 2(L_x \times L_y/a^2 - 1)M \to L_x \times L_y/a^2 - 1$ and $\mathcal{M} = 1$. The LR FR order is really a defect-diluted AF order[5, 17].



FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) Part of particle density distribution of VI ZM on a $60a \times 60a$ square lattice (a vacancy at the white spot); (b) The illustration of the uniform FM-AF-balanced ferrimagnetic order for the Hubbard model on square lattice and $U = 0.01t$; (c) The two order parameters; (d) The density of state for case of Hubbard model on square lattice and $U = t$.

For case with both NN hopping $t_{ij} = t_{\langle ij\rangle}$ and next nearest neighbor (NNN) hopping, $t_{ij} = t_{\langle\langle ij\rangle\rangle} \neq 0$, the situation becomes complex. The VI ZM still exists due to S symmetry. However, the wave-functions of the ZM may distribute on both sublattices. As a result, in small U limit, we have $\mathcal{M} = 1$ and the total FM moment is also Lieb spin moment $|N_A - N_B|/2$. In the large U limit, the low energy effective Hamiltonian turns into the frustrated Heisenberg model, of which the ground state may be not an AF order.

Example 1 - the Hubbard model on square lattice: For the Hubbard model on square lattice, the hopping parameters are $t_{\langle ij\rangle} = t$. For this model, the operator of the S symmetry is $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}$. The S symmetry protected VI ZM is an extended state, of which the wave-function Ψ_0 can be naturally be $\psi_{0,i\in\text{A}} = 0$, $\psi_{0,i\in\text{B}} = \frac{1}{N_B}$. See part of the particle density distribution of this ZM on a $60a \times 60a$ square lattice in Fig.1(a).

To check the validity of above discussion, we use the mean field approach to study the Hubbard model on square lattice with a vacancy-lattice. The lattice constant of the vacancy-lattice is set to be $d = 6a$. We choose $6a \times 6a$ sites to be a UC ($6a$ along x direction and $6a$ along y direction). To search the ground state

with the lowest energy, we need to solve $6 \times 6 - 1 = 35$ order parameters that denote the local magnetizations $M_i = \langle \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\uparrow}\hat{c}_{i\uparrow} - \hat{c}^\dagger_{i\downarrow}\hat{c}_{i\downarrow}\rangle/2$ on 35 lattice sites in a UC.

From the mean field calculation, we find that for the weak coupling limit, $U \to 0$, the ground state is a *uniform* Ferrimagnetic order, $M_{i\in A} = 0$, $M_{i\in B} = \frac{1}{6\times 6-1} = \frac{1}{35}$. The FR ordered state is illustrated in Fig.1(b). From Fig.1(c) one can see that the total FM moment in a UC is indeed a constant, $\mathcal{M} \equiv 1$, which is consistent to the prediction of Lieb spin moment $|N_A - N_B|/2$. On the other hand, the total AF moment in a UC is also $\mathcal{N} = 1$. The FM-AF-balance character ($\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$ or $m(0) = m(\mathcal{Q})$) comes from the fact that the VI ZMs only distribute on one sublattice. With the increasing the interaction strength, the average magnetizations on the sites of B sublattice become finite values with an opposite polarization to those on the sites of A sublattice $M_{i\in \mathrm{A}}$: $\frac{M_{i\in \mathrm{A}}}{|M_{i\in \mathrm{A}}|} = -\frac{M_{i\in \mathrm{B}}}{|M_{i\in \mathrm{B}}|} \neq 0$. Because the amplitudes of M_i on all lattice sites increase, we have an AF-dominated FR order with $\mathcal{M} < \mathcal{N}$ (or $m(0) < m(\mathcal{Q})$). Now, the SQT inequality $m(0) \leq m(\mathcal{Q})$ is satisfied. In the large U limit, we have a saturated value, $\mathcal{N} \to 35$ but $\mathcal{M} \equiv 1$. Fig.1(d) shows the DOS of the FR order, of which there exists an energy gap. Near the gap, the DOS is enhanced due to the VI ZMs.

Example 2 - the staggered-flux Hubbard model on square lattice: Recently, people had realized the photon-assisted tunneling on optical lattice and then generated a large effective (staggered) magnetic flux on optical lattice[11–13]. When two-component fermions with repulsive interaction are put into such optical lattice, one can get an effective staggered-flux Hubbard model. These progresses may provide new research platform to learn the ferrimagnetism. It is easy to change the potential barrier by varying the laser intensities to tune the Hamiltonian parameters including the hopping strength (t-term), the staggered flux (ϕ) and the particle interaction (U-term). For this reason, we take the Hubbard model on square lattice with staggered-flux (SF) as the second example, where $t_{i,i\pm\delta_y} = e^{i\pm\phi}t$ for $i \in$ A, $t_{i,i\pm\delta_x} = t$ for $i \in$ A and $t_{i,i\pm\delta_y} = t_{i,i\pm\delta_x} = t$ for $i \in$ B. See the illustration in Fig.2(a). In particular, we only consider the NN hoppings. For this model, the operator of the S symmetry is $\mathcal{S}_T = \mathcal{P}\cdot\mathcal{T}$ where $\mathcal{T}$ is the time-reversal transformation operator which commutes with lattice translation operators $[\mathcal{T}, T_x] = [\mathcal{T}, T_y] = 0$.

After diagonalization of the Hamiltonian in momentum space, the energy spectra are obtained as $E_\pm = -t\left[\cos(k_y - \phi) + \cos k_y\right] \pm \sqrt{t^2\left[\cos(k_y-\phi) - \cos k_y\right]^2 + 4t^2\cos^2 k_x}$. For the case of $\phi = 0$, the SF disappears and we get a uniform Hubbard model on square lattice. In the BZ $k_x \in (-\pi, \pi)$, $k_y \in (-\pi, \pi)$, the energy S turns into $E = -2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y)$. Now the Fermi surface at half filling has perfect nesting condition. Away from this case, $\phi \neq 0$, the BZ is reduced into a half one. The system becomes a semi-metal and also has the perfect nesting condition. For the + band, there exists a hole pocket; For the − band, there exists an electron pocket. See the illustration in Fig.2(b). The density of state (DOS) $\rho(E)$ near Fermi surface is reduced with increasing ϕ. For the case of π-flux, the energy S turns into $E_\pm = \pm 2t\sqrt{\cos^2 k_y + \cos^2 k_x}$ and the electron pocket and hole pocket shrinks into two Dirac nodes at $\mathbf{K}_1 = (\pi/2, \pi/2)$ and $\mathbf{K}_2 = (\pi/2, -\pi/2)$.



FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) The illustration of the staggered-flux lattice; (b) The dispersion of the $\pi/2$-flux case: there are a hole pocket and an electron pocket in a reduced BZ. The red plane denotes the position of chemical potential.

Because the SF Hubbard model on bipartite lattices at half-filling is unstable against antiferromagnetic (AF) instability, the ground state becomes an insulator with AF order for the case of finite U. Such AF order is described by the following mean field ansatz $\langle \hat{c}^\dagger_{i,\sigma}\hat{c}_{i,\sigma}\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\left(1 + (-1)^i\sigma M\right)$ where M is the staggered magnetization. For the cases of spin up and spin down, we have $\sigma = +1$ and $\sigma = -1$, respectively. Then in the mean field theory, by minimizing the ground state energy in the reduced Brillouin zone, we could solve the staggered magnetization. Due to the perfect nesting condition, the arbitrary small interaction term leads to an AF spin-density-wave (SDW) order and then the BZ of $\phi \neq 0$, π case is reduced into a quarter one. For the π-flux case, the DOS near Fermi surface is zero and the critical point between the semi-metal and AF insulator is about $U_c = 3.11t$[14, 15].

For the case of $\phi \neq 0$, the VI ZM is a quasi-localized state. See the particle density distribution of this ZM for the case of $\phi = \pi/2$ in Fig.3(a). The VI ZMs are anisotropic due to the rotation-symmetry breaking of the original Hamiltonian. In the continuum limit, for the case of $\phi = \pi$, the wave function of VI ZM distributes on B sublattice that has a simple form of $\psi_0(x, y) \simeq \frac{e^{i\mathbf{K}_1\cdot\mathbf{r}}}{x+iy} + \frac{e^{i\mathbf{K}_2\cdot\mathbf{r}}}{x-iy}$[16]. The amplitude of this state decays with the distance to the vacancy as $1/r$. It is needed to point out that these VI ZMs are all protected by the S



FIG. 3: (Color online) (a) Part of particle density distribution of VI ZM on a $60a \times 60a$ square lattice for $\phi = \pi/2$ and $U = 0$; (b) The illustration of the cluster AF-balanced ferrimagnetic order for $\phi = \pi/2$ and $U = 0.001t$; (c) The two order parameters for $\phi = \pi/2$; (d) The density of state for $\phi = \pi/2$ and $U = t$.



FIG. 4: (Color online) (a) Part of particle density distribution of VI ZM for the interacting spinful Haldane model on $60a \times 60a$ square lattice at $\phi = \pi$, $t' = 0.1$, and $U = 0$; (b) The illustration of the cluster FM-balanced ferrimagnetic order for the interacting spinful Haldane model on square lattice at $\phi = \pi$, $t' = 0.1$ and $U = 0.001t$; (c) The two order parameters of the case of $\phi = \pi$, $t' = 0.1$; (d) The density of state of the interacting spinful Haldane model on square lattice at $\phi = \pi$, $t' = 0.1$ and $U = t$.

symmetry.

We use the mean field approach to study the Hubbard model on SF square lattice with a $6a \times 6a$ vacancy-lattice. We focus on the case of $\phi = \pi/2$. Now the ground state is a *cluster* Ferrimagnetic order for weak coupling case. The word "cluster" means that the local magnetic order parameters M_i is larger near the vacancy but smaller far from it. See the illustration Fig.3(b). This "cluster" behavior obviously is a physical consequence of the quasi-localized VI ZMs. From Fig.3(c) one can also see that $\mathcal{N} \to 1$, $\mathcal{M} \equiv 1$ ($U \to 0$) and $\mathcal{N} \to 35$, $\mathcal{M} \equiv 1$ ($U \to \infty$). From the DOS of the system (Fig.3(d)), one can see that there exists energy gap of the mid-gap states (zero-modes) that dominate the low energy physics. All these features indicate an AF-dominated FR order from the FM order of spin moments of the quasi-localized ZMs.

From the mean field calculation, for the case of $\phi = \pi$, we find that the quantum phase transition between the metallic (or semi-metallic) states and the magnetic orders shifts from $U = U_c$ to $U = 0$. Arbitrary interaction drives the system into a long range FR order, which is obviously induced by the vacancy-lattice. At $U \sim U_c$, there is no true phase transition, instead, a crossover occurs. For $U < U_c$, the ground state can be regarded as an FR order from the FM ordered spin moments of ZMs. Now, a tiny energy gap opens which is due to the spin polarized effect of the ZMs. On the other hand, for $U > U_c$, the ground state can be regarded as the defect-diluted AF order, and a big energy gap opens which is just AF-Mott gap for the double occupied particles on one site.

Example 3 - the spinful Haldane model on square lattice: An interesting issue is the FR order for the case from a topological insulator with NNN hoppings. Now we consider the spinful Haldane model on square lattice, of which the Hamiltonian is given by $H_T = H(\phi = \pi) + H(t')$ where $H(\phi = \pi)$ is the Hamiltonian of the SF Hubbard model on square lattice and t' is the NNN hopping. The Hamiltonian has PH symmetry from $H_T = -\mathcal{P}^\dagger H_T \mathcal{P}$. In addition, the free Hamiltonian is a topological Chern insulator.

We numerically calculated the free Hamiltonian with a vacancy, and found a (S or PH symmetry protected) VI ZM on a $60a \times 60a$ lattice[10]. Fig.4(a) shows the particle density of the ZMs, localized around the defect center within a length-scale of $\sim (\Delta E)^{-1}$, where ΔE is the energy gap of the vacancy-free case. In particular, the wave-function of the ZM distribute not only on B sublattice but also on A sublattice.

We then use the mean field approach to study the FR order. From the results given in Fig.4(b), we find that the ground state is also a cluster FR. In the small U limit, the average magnetizations on the sites of A sublattice become *finite* as $\frac{M_{i\in \mathrm{A}}}{|M_{i\in \mathrm{A}}|} = \frac{M_{i\in \mathrm{B}}}{|M_{i\in \mathrm{B}}|} \neq 0$. Now, the magnetic order in A sublattice has the same polarized direction to that of B sublattice but the value of it is much smaller to that of B sublattice. The total spin S of the ground state still obeys the prediction of Lieb spin moment as $|N_{\mathrm{A}} - N_{\mathrm{B}}|/2$. However, we have $\mathcal{M} > \mathcal{N}$ that mean $m(0) > m(\mathcal{Q})$. The ground state is an FM-dominated FR order and the SQT inequality is violent. We conclude that the violence of SQT inequality for this case is due to the NNN hoppings.

When we increase the interaction strength, $m(0) = m(\mathcal{Q})$ at U_0. When we further increase the interaction strength, the ground state turns into an AF-dominated

FR order with $m(0) < m(\mathcal{Q})$. For larger interaction strength, a topological quantum phase transition occurs. The energy gap closes and opens again. The system then has no nontrivial topological properties and becomes a defect-diluted AF order.

Conclusion: In this paper, we developed a universal formula of the ferrimagnetism in the Hubbard model beyond Lieb's theorem by taking into account for the S symmetry with larger universality than traditional PH symmetry. Then, by taking three models as examples, we study the defect-induced FR orders that emerge from three typical fermionic systems - metal, semi-metal, (Chern) insulator. We found that there may exist various FR orders (uniform FM-AF-balanced FR order, uniform AF-dominated FR order, cluster FM-AF-balanced FR order, cluster AF-dominated FR order, cluster FM-dominated FR order...). The total spin of all these FR orders is equal to the Lieb spin moment ($|N_{\rm A} - N_{\rm B}|/2$). From the common feature of these FR orders, we conjecture that it is the S symmetry protected VI ZMs ($|\psi_0\rangle = \mathcal{S}\,|\psi_0\rangle$) that dominate the low energy physics of the system in small U limit. However, we found that the SQT inequality ($m(0) \leq m(\mathcal{Q})$) is valid for the model with only the NN hoppings and can be violent by the NNN hoppings. In addition, we may point out that the formula can be straightforwardly applied to other Hubbard models on bipartite lattices with S symmetry.

* * *

This work is supported by National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) under the grant No. 2011CB921803, 2012CB921704 and NSFC Grant No. 11174035.

[1] Y. Nagaoka, Phys. Rev. **147**, 392-405 (1966).
[2] E. H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1201-1204 (1989), [Errata **62**, 1927 (1989)].
[3] S. Q. Shen, Z. M. Qiu and G. S. Tian, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1280 (1994).
[4] After a (partial) particle-hole transformation, the repulsive Hubbard model can be transformed into an attractive Hubbard model, of which the ground state always has singlet superconducting (SC) pairing. This singlet SC just corresponds to the ground state with a total spin $|N_{\rm A} - N_{\rm B}|/2$[2, 18, 19]. Then, the SQT inequality corresponds to non-negative off-diagonal long-range SC pairing of the attractive Hubbard model in earlier paper[18].
[5] G. S. Tian, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **27** 2305 (1994).
[6] G. S. Tian, Phys. Rev. **B 50,** 6246 (1994).
[7] G. S. Tian and T. H. Lin, Phys. Rev. **B 53**, 8196 (1996).
[8] S. Q. Shen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **B 12**, 709 (1998).
[9] C. N. Yang and S. C. Zhang, Mod. Phys. Lett. **B 4,** 759 (1990).
[10] J. He, et al, Phys. Rev. **B 87**, 075126 (2013).
[11] M. Aidelsburger, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107,** 255301 (2011).
[12] M. Aidelsburger, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 185301 (2013).
[13] H. Miyake, et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 185302 (2013).
[14] T. C. Hsu, Phys. Rev. B. **41**, 11379 (1990).
[15] G. Y. Sun and S. P. Kou, Europhys. Lett. **87,** 67002 (2009).
[16] V. M. Pereira, et.al, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96,** 036801 (2006).
[17] E. H. Lieb, D. C. Mattis, J. Math. Phys. **3,** 749 (1962).
[18] G. S. Tian, Phys. Rev. **B 45**, 3145 (1992).
[19] S. Q. Shen and Z. M. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 4238 (1993).